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Monitoring Air Pollution in Delhi, India

Like many cities in India (and in the developing world), Delhi lacks the necessary number of operational air quality monitors. Figure 1 presents the location of the eight monitoring stations in Delhi, India. The red line indicates the inner ring road and the blue line indicates the outer ring road.

Among the eight stations,

- the ITO station is a major traffic junction
- the Delhi University campus station is considered a background site
- the Siri Fort station is located in the premises of a sports stadium, but close to the major corridor
- the Nizamuddin station is a major traffic junction
- Shahdara, Pitampura, Janakpuri, and Ashok Vihar stations are semi-residential and semi-industrial.

Figure 2 presents a summary of the PM and NOx monitoring data from these stations.

While the urban area, including the satellite cities, is spread over 900 km², approximately 30 km is each direction, these 8 stations cannot sufficiently represent the mix of industrial and residential areas. There is a need for a large scale monitoring campaign to better represent and map the pollution levels based on monitoring.

All the stations routinely exceed the ambient air quality standards.

---

1 For the detailed analysis of the data including diurnal cycles of pollutants and interpretation is presented in SIM-air working paper No. 23 “Photochemistry of Air Pollution in Delhi, India: A Monitoring Based Analysis” @ http://www.urbanemissions.info/simair/simseries.html
2 National Ambient Air Quality Standards of India, revised by CPCB in November, 2009 @ http://cpcb.nic.in/National_Ambient_Air_Quality_Standards.php
Figure 2: Air pollution in Delhi - 24hr monthly averages with variance over each month.

- Delhi - Nizamuddin Station - PM$_{10}$
- Delhi - Nizamuddin Station - NO$_x$
- Delhi - SiriFort Station - PM$_{10}$
- Delhi - SiriFort Station - NO$_x$
- Delhi - Shadara Station - PM$_{10}$
- Delhi - Shadara Station - NO$_x$
- Delhi - Janakpuri Station - PM$_{10}$
- Delhi - Janakpuri Station - NO$_x$
- Delhi - Shahzada-Bagh Station - PM$_{10}$
- Delhi - Shahzada-Bagh Station - NO$_x$
Monitoring Urban Pollution: A Proposal

For any city, “5 basic things for urban air quality management” include
- Monitoring
- Knowing the sources and geography
- Emissions database – baselines
- Modeling analysis – sources and alternatives
- Dissemination of information

Of the five, monitoring is the first. In general, the urban monitoring is restricted to a limited number of hot spots for technical and financial reasons. For urban air quality management and policy dialogue, a point measurement of 20 pollutants is not enough, but one pollutant (say PM, which is critical for exposure and health analysis) at 100 points across the city will provide larger support to argue and explain the science and physics of air pollution.

In today's day and age, with access to state of the art monitors (all sizes and all kinds), the accuracy and replicability of measurements is not an issue. At the end, it is a matter of purpose - mapping the critical pollutant that matters or monitoring many pollutants for compliance?

The proposal: “Measuring what we want to Manage” - 1 Monitor & 100 Points

1 Monitor: The Dust Trak monitors are economical, mobile, and work efficiently. The new model allows the user to log the data with GPS synchronization and the blue tooth facility allows for online mapping (and more possible offline with a GIS interface).

Traditionally, the city groups use the continuous monitoring systems which cost over $300,000 (also measure most of the pollutants) and monitors a tier lower which can cost $50,000 to $100,000 for one to three pollutants. This is still financially intense and limits the number of monitors that one can actually operate in the city. Most often, this does not include the operational and maintenance costs. Hence, the lack of required number of monitors in the cities.

In which case, a simple optical monitor, like “dust trak”, can help monitor the pollutant that matters the most cheaply. The “dust trak” is for measuring PM only. With calibration, this instrument is known to measure up to PM$_{1.0}$.

---

3 For details on the models and specifications on Dust Trak, visit http://www.tsi.com/en-1033/products/14000/dusttrak%C3%A2%E2%80%9E%C2%A2_aerosol_monitors/3226/8530.aspx
100 points: For any city, being able to measure a single pollutant at 100 points on a regular basis is considered ideal - more the merrier.

A 100 point scan of the city and overlaid on a physical map with residential, road, and industrial backgrounds, with some interpolations, will provide an immense data set for hot spots and pollution analysis. For policy dialogue, a map like this will provide a better argument with the local authorities than from the modeling (which is also as important).

Methodology comes with its limitations on equipment and interpretations, but an exercise like this will provide a movie of the city air pollution in 3D, which is otherwise conducted via modeling - with perfect emissions inventory and full meteorology at the ground level. For example, Figure 4 presents the results from a 3D modeling of PM pollution in London\(^4\), highlighting the hot spots for exposure analysis and further monitoring.

---

\(^4\) London pollution in 3D @ [http://www.visualcomplexity.com/vc/project.cfm?id=513](http://www.visualcomplexity.com/vc/project.cfm?id=513)
A One Day Experiment in Delhi, India

This experiment was conducted on October 3rd, 2009 (Saturday) in Delhi, India. Summary of the experiment is presented in Table 1 and a map of the route is presented in Figure 5.

The objective of the campaign was to
(a) test the instruments to measure the primary pollutants – PM coarse (with aerodynamic diameter less than 10 micron) and PM fine (with aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5micron)
(b) conduct a monitoring exercise, covering the residential and industrial areas (all the measurements were conducted roadside)
(c) verify the methodology to map the measured values over the city map

The experiment started at UrbanEmissions.Info office in Chittaranjan Park (red dot), west on the inner ring road, north to Azadpur, down to the central district @ Connaught Place, proceeded east towards Shahdara, south to Greater Noida, east on the outer ring road, north of Africa Avenue to India Gate and back to Chittaranjan Park. Follow the animated monitoring route @ http://www.urbanemissions.info/simair/delhimonitoring.html (times presented in the animation (from the GPS) and described in Figures 7-10 (from hand watch) have a 10 minute discrepancy.
**Experimental Setup**

Two dust traks, one for measuring PM$_{10}$ and one for PM$_{2.5}$, and one Athelometer for Black Carbon were used, in parallel for this experiment. The experimental setup$^5$ is presented in Figure 6. During the experiment, the BC concentrations were monitored in real time, is record any peaks or abnormalities linked to the surrounds, such as a passing truck or garbage burning. A Garmin GPS unit was used to trak latitude and longitude and a HOBO monitor was utilized to measure temperature and relative humidity along the route.

During the experiment, the BC filter was changed 5 times.

---

$^5$ Authors thank Mr. Conor Reynolds and Dr. Andy Grieshop from the University of British Columbia, Canada, for helping us set up the instruments.
### Summary of the Experiment (Table 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>October 3\textsuperscript{rd}, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City, Country</td>
<td>Delhi, India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>Saturday – working day for some offices and schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>9:00 AM to 7:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollutants</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}, PM\textsubscript{2.5}, Black Carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruments - PM</td>
<td>Dust Trak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruments - BC</td>
<td>Athelometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance covered</td>
<td>160 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. speed while measuring</td>
<td>16 km/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>μg/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>58 – 2303 (one minute average)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{2.5}</td>
<td>μg/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>44 – 2000 (one minute average)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>μg/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>4 – 994 (one minute average)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>31.1 – 41.5 (one minute average)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Humidity</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>29.4 – 60.6 (one minute average)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total number of data points collected at 1 sec interval = 30,812 per pollutant

**Figure 7-10** presents a summary of the observations. Values are averaged over each minute.
9:00 AM – Start from the UrbanEmissions.Info office in Chittaranjan Park. Instruments were setup and monitoring started in 15 minutes.

A brief explanation of the observed peaks and surrounds along the way

1) 09:30 AM @ South Extension on the inner ring road
2) 09:40 AM @ Moti Bagh (South)
3) 09:52 AM @ Mayapuri Junction, idling in slow moving traffic
4) 10:05 AM @ Sagarpuri Market, idling in slow moving traffic
5) 10:22 AM @ intersection of Najafgarh Road
6) 10:31 AM @ Subhash Nagar metro station
7) 10:40 AM @ Rajouri Gardens metro station
8) 10:50 AM @ Rothak Road crossing (flyover)
9) 11:09 AM @ GT Road; in the middle of construction sites on unpaved roads
10) 11:15 AM @ Jahangir puri metro station
11) 11:20 AM @ Pitampura, idling in slow moving traffic

At the metro stations, peaks were observed, possibly due to the tunnel effect and funneling of vehicles into narrower roads. On average, idling in slow moving traffic drove the measurements high.

During this period:
Average: $PM_{10} = 185 \, \mu g/m^3; \, PM_{2.5} = 143 \, \mu g/m^3; \, BC = 27 \, \mu g/m^3$
Range: $PM_{10} = 60-1141 \, \mu g/m^3; \, PM_{2.5} = 55-491 \, \mu g/m^3; \, BC = 4-174 \, \mu g/m^3$
The second section observed higher numbers, partly due to an increase in the traffic. A brief explanation of the observed peaks and surrounds along the way

1) 11:32 AM @ nearing Pitampura metro station, idling in traffic
2) 11:50 AM @ Lawrence Road, slow moving traffic
3) 11:58 AM – car trouble, likely self emissions
4) 12:05 PM – car trouble, likely self emissions
5) 12:10 PM @ entrance of Rothak road, junction
6) 12:20 PM @ Rothak road; long jam, construction site
7) 12:30 PM @ Rothak road; still in jam; slowing moving traffic
8) 12:35 PM @ construction along the road
9) 12:42 PM @ Anand Prabhat
10) 12:55 PM @ Panchkuan towards Connaught Place (CP)
11) 01:03 PM @ Idling around CP

At 12:00, the rental car accidentally entered a ditch on the road and generated extra emissions. Overall, this section observed slower speeds and more idling.

During this period:
Average: PM$_{10}$ = 362 $\mu$g/m$^3$; PM$_{2.5}$ = 261 $\mu$g/m$^3$; BC = 51 $\mu$g/m$^3$
Range: PM$_{10}$ = 58-2303 $\mu$g/m$^3$; PM$_{2.5}$ = 44-2000 $\mu$g/m$^3$; BC = 4-994 $\mu$g/m$^3$
Post-lunch starting at 2:23 PM, this section observed moderate pollution levels (comparatively), as the route passed through semi-residential areas in the East. A brief explanation of the observed peaks and surrounds along the way:

1) 02:25 PM @ CP, circling the outer ring
2) 02:40 PM @ Police headquarters near ITO
3) 02:45 PM – car punctured; tire change; passing truck
4) 03:05 PM @ entrance of Laxmi Nagar market
5) 03:15 PM @ entrance of Swami Dayanand Marg;
6) 03:20 PM to 03:25 @ and around CPCB
7) 03:35 PM @ Welcome metro station; slow moving traffic
8) 03:41 PM – saw roadside burning
9) 03:52 PM – saw roadside burning
10)03:59 PM @ the power plant
11)04:03 PM – filling petrol; idling cars
12)04:15 PM @ towards entrance of DND
13)04:25 PM @ DND; passing truck

Between 3:20 and 4:00, the pollution was observed from a mix of sources, which was not the case in the earlier sections. Overall, the traffic the major roads with metro are observed to be slower than the others.

During this period:
Average: PM$_{10}$ = 172 $\mu$g/m$^3$; PM$_{2.5}$ = 153 $\mu$g/m$^3$; BC = 37 $\mu$g/m$^3$
Range: PM$_{10}$ = 66-799 $\mu$g/m$^3$; PM$_{2.5}$ = 56-668 $\mu$g/m$^3$; BC = 5-370 $\mu$g/m$^3$
The fourth section is synonymous to background concentrations, driving through the Greater NOIDA highway and back into the city limits @ 6:00 PM. A brief explanation of the observed peaks and surrounds along the way:

1) 04:37 PM @ the Atta market
2) 04:51 PM @ the highway to Greater NOIDA
3) 05:05 PM @ Sultanpur residential area
4) 05:26 PM @ Kalendi Kunj; crossing Yamuna
5) 05:40 PM @ entrance of Outer Ring road
6) 06:02 PM @ IIT gate; entering Africa Avenue
7) 06:11 PM @ crossing of Inner ring road
8) 06:22 PM @ the India Gate
9) 06:35 PM @ crossing of National Zoo
10) 06:56 PM @ GK-1 entrance
11) 07:02 PM @ Nehru place

In all, the monitoring drive covered 160 km in 10 hours.

During this period:
Average: $\text{PM}_{10} = 152 \mu g/m^3$; $\text{PM}_{2.5} = 125 \mu g/m^3$; $\text{BC} = 31 \mu g/m^3$
Range: $\text{PM}_{10} = 68-484 \mu g/m^3$; $\text{PM}_{2.5} = 59-420 \mu g/m^3$; $\text{BC} = 5-222 \mu g/m^3$
It is important to note that the data points are spread across the city and the instruments are sensitive to the temperature and relative humidity around the measurement points. The Figure 11 presents the measured temperature and relative humidity along the route and the diurnal cycle. The variation in the temperature is attributed to the traffic conditions, peaks were observed while in the slow moving traffic.

![Figure 11: Temperature and relative humidity along the monitoring route](image)

The availability of two Dust Trak instruments allowed us to study the size fractions in the measured samples. Figure 12 presents ratios between PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$, which averaged at 0.69, emphasizing the need to monitor the PM$_{2.5}$, more harmful to human health of the two size fractions. The ratio between BC and PM$_{2.5}$ fluctuated, emphasizing a mix of pollution sources besides diesel based along the roads.

![Figure 12: Comparison of measured PM$_{10}$ vs. PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ and BC](image)
Mapping Urban Air Pollution in Delhi, India

While monitoring the air pollution in the city at multiple points was conducted in this experiment along the major roads covering the industrial and the residential areas, the next step of mapping the urban air pollution demonstrates the need for further such studies on a routine basis. Figure 13 presents an interpolation of the measured PM$_{10}$ data over the Delhi map, using the GrADS software.

![Map of Delhi showing air pollution levels](image)

Figure 13: An interpolation of one-minute averaged PM$_{10}$ measurements on Oct 3$^{rd}$, 2009 blue line indicates the monitoring route; black lines indicate the major roads in and out of Delhi; red dot indicates the starting/ending point

The interpolation of the data presents a bias along the roads and where the measurements were conducted in dense (like the Northern sector). This also shows that if the density of measurements can be increased by many folds, a clear picture of the hot spots and possible exposure levels in the city can be estimated more accurately.

During the experiment, the average
PM$_{10}$ pollution over the 8 hour period = 206 $\mu$g/m$^3$
PM$_{2.5}$ pollution over the 8 hour period = 163 $\mu$g/m$^3$
BC pollution over the 8 hour period = 36 $\mu$g/m$^3$
In the Northwest and West sections, traffic movement was slow, especially along the major corridors, along with construction activities, which contributed to higher ambient pollution levels. The Northwest and East sections also home for industrial areas. The South Delhi, which is more residential, tends to experience on average 100 to 200 $\mu$g/m$^3$, which is well above the national and WHO health guidelines.

For the current observations, utilizing the average concentrations over Delhi, assuming at least 30% of the population (~5,000,000) is constantly exposed to these levels, and a dose-response function from premature mortality$^6$ (0.000014 cases/$\mu$g/m$^3$/percapita), a back-of-the-envelope calculations yields an **annual mortality rate of ~10,900**.

This at willingness-to-pay rate of ~US$50,000.00 (~25,00,000.00 Indian Rupees) translates to **US$545 millions** in health damages due to premature mortality annually.

**Recap & Future Plans**

The impacts of air pollution on human health are well studied and documented from across the world. However, the depth of the damage is unknown, especially in the developing country cities due to the lack of on-the-ground understanding of where the pollution is and how much. One, we do need to know where the pollution is coming from, which translates to the energy consumption statistics and emissions inventories, but more importantly, we need to know what we are breathing.

If managing the pollution for better health is our primary goal, then we have to measure what we want to manage.

This one-day experiment was put together to demonstrate the new and available technology for monitoring the particulate pollution in the cities, cheaply and effectively. Total cost incurred (excluding the man-power) during this experiment included the following.

1. Instruments – borrowed, thanks to Prof. Julian Marshall at Univ. of Minnesota (otherwise cost ~$10,000 per instrument)
2. Rental car for one day – US$30
3. Food – US$10
4. Software - The data is analyzed and mapped using free or commonly available programs (MS Excel)

---

$^6$ For details on the methodology and dose response functions for estimating health impacts of urban air pollution refer to the SIM-air working paper No.6 @ [http://www.urbanemissions.info/simair/simseries.html](http://www.urbanemissions.info/simair/simseries.html)
This is a one-day experiment. This should be repeated 2-3 times a week, for the entire year, to create an animation of the urban air pollution.

A more appropriate and effective methodology will include covering in-between the major corridors, some point measurements across the city (for example, as shown in Figure 14) which can be interpolated to a physical map better than what is presented in Figure 13.

In the coming months, the monitoring route will be repeated, preferably on a working day.