

Uncertainty of Operating Smaller Number of Ambient Monitoring Stations Indian Cities from 2015 to 2023





Sai Krishna Dammalapati¹, Sarath K Guttikunda¹, Zeel B. Patel², and Nipun Batra² Affiliation: 1. UrbanEmissions.Info, New Delhi, India 2. Indian Institute of Technology, Gandhi Nagar

| AQI Category (AQI value) | PM _{2.5} 24-hours μg/m ³ | PM ₁₀ 24-hours μg/m ³ | SO ₂ 24-hours μg/m ³ | NO ₂ 24-hours μg/m ³ | CO 8-hours mg/m ³ | O ₃ 8-hours μg/m ³ |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Good (0-50) | 0-30 | 0-50 | 0-40 | 0-40 | 0-1 | 0-50 |
| Satisfactory (51-100) | 30-60 | 50-100 | 40-80 | 40-80 | 1-2 | 50-100 |
| Moderate (101-200) | 60-90 | 100-250 | 80-380 | 80-180 | 2-10 | 100-168 |
| Poor (201-300) | 90-120 | 250-350 | 380-800 | 180-280 | 10-17 | 168-208 |
| Very Poor (301-400) | 120-250 | 350-430 | 800-1600 | 280-400 | 17-34 | 208-748 |
| Severe (401-500) | 250+ | 430+ | 1600+ | 400+ | 34+ | 748+ |

| Number of cities with # stations → | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5-10 | 10-20 | 20+ |
|------------------------------------|-----|----|----|---|------|-------|-----|
| in 2015 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| in 2016 | 28 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| in 2017 | 47 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| in 2018 | 66 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| in 2019 | 99 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| in 2020 | 111 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| in 2021 | 139 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 1 |
| in 2022 | 170 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 1 |
| in 2023 | 215 | 18 | 16 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 2 |

| | Number of unique cities listed | Number of reporting stations (avg.) | Number of reporting stations (max.) | Number of stations per unique city |
|------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 2015 | 22 | 31 | 37 | 1.4 |
| 2016 | 33 | 53 | 54 | 1.6 |
| 2017 | 54 | 80 | 90 | 1.5 |
| 2018 | 75 | 129 | 137 | 1.7 |
| 2019 | 115 | 188 | 206 | 1.6 |
| 2020 | 135 | 238 | 258 | 1.8 |
| 2021 | 170 | 300 | 326 | 1.8 |
| 2022 | 209 | 338 | 396 | 1.6 |
| 2023 | 271 | 469 | 514 | 1.7 |
| | | | | |
| | 5.0 | | | |

• Air Quality Index (AQI) methodology was formalized in India in 2014.

- Everyday, AQI is calculated using the average of all data per pollutant from regulatory continuous monitors in a city, and bulletins are released at 4 p.m. as PDF reports.
- Total recommended number of stations (4094) in India is based on thumb rules defined by the Central Pollution Control Board in 2003.
- Minimum number of stations per city (5) is for spatial representation covering residential, traffic, industrial, commercial, and background sites.
- In 2023, 80% of the cities reported AQI using data

% days PM_{2.5} as conditional pollutant

| | J | F | Μ | Α | Μ | J | J | Α | S | 0 | N | D |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| in 2015 | | | | | 47% | 56% | 47% | 55% | 51% | 64% | 68% | 68% |
| in 2016 | 74% | 64% | 51% | 54% | 46% | 44% | 43% | 40% | 36% | 50% | 66% | 60% |
| in 2017 | 64% | 50% | 45% | 42% | 35% | 34% | 31% | 28% | 33% | 45% | 56% | 59% |
| in 2018 | 62% | 52% | 46% | 36% | 38% | 32% | 21% | 22% | 26% | 43% | 60% | 65% |
| in 2019 | 66% | 54% | 42% | 34% | 31% | 27% | 22% | 17% | 18% | 43% | 61% | 64% |
| in 2020 | 58% | 48% | 25% | 19% | 16% | 14% | 14% | 12% | 20% | 41% | 54% | 55% |
| in 2021 | 59% | 45% | 31% | 25% | 18% | 16% | 14% | 15% | 11% | 32% | 56% | 62% |
| in 2022 | 55% | 43% | 36% | 25% | 21% | 19% | 13% | 13% | 16% | 31% | 53% | 57% |
| in 2023 | 59% | 41% | 32% | 20% | 16% | 12% | 11% | 11% | 13% | 31% | 53% | 54% |

% days PM_{10} as conditional pollutant

| | J | F | Μ | A | Μ | J | J | A | S | 0 | Ν | D |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| in 2015 | | | | | 24% | 11% | 13% | 24% | 22% | 17% | 16% | 18% |
| in 2016 | 16% | 25% | 36% | 31% | 24% | 27% | 19% | 23% | 25% | 23% | 17% | 24% |
| in 2017 | 20% | 29% | 34% | 36% | 39% | 33% | 34% | 35% | 30% | 30% | 24% | 26% |
| in 2018 | 26% | 42% | 47% | 58% | 53% | 54% | 52% | 49% | 55% | 49% | 34% | 32% |
| in 2019 | 32% | 41% | 54% | 61% | 63% | 61% | 55% | 52% | 55% | 42% | 33% | 30% |
| in 2020 | 34% | 41% | 48% | 46% | 50% | 47% | 46% | 46% | 53% | 44% | 32% | 35% |
| in 2021 | 31% | 46% | 57% | 58% | 52% | 53% | 48% | 53% | 48% | 46% | 30% | 31% |
| in 2022 | 36% | 46% | 54% | 59% | 60% | 56% | 48% | 49% | 50% | 46% | 37% | 34% |

Conditional pollutant shares in 2019



Conditional pollutant shares in 2023



from one station.

 Only 15 cities (6%) reported AQI using minimum 5 stations – Agra (6), Ahmedabad (9), Bengaluru (13), Chennai (8), Delhi (39), Hyderabad (14), Jaipur (6), Jodhpur (5), Kolkata (7), Lucknow (6), Moradabad (6), Mumbai (28), Navi Mumbai (7), Patna (6), and Pune (8)

in 2023 33% 49% 50% 59% 57% 61% 52% 66% 56% 54% 35% 36%

% days gases as conditional pollutant

| | J | F | Μ | A | Μ | J | J | A | S | 0 | Ν | D |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| in 2015 | | | | | 29% | 32% | 40% | 21% | 27% | 19% | 16% | 15% |
| in 2016 | 10% | 11% | 13% | 16% | 29% | 30% | 38% | 37% | 40% | 27% | 17% | 15% |
| in 2017 | 15% | 21% | 21% | 21% | 26% | 34% | 35% | 37% | 37% | 25% | 20% | 15% |
| in 2018 | 12% | 6% | 6% | 7% | 10% | 14% | 27% | 28% | 19% | 8% | 6% | 3% |
| in 2019 | 3% | 5% | 4% | 5% | 6% | 12% | 24% | 31% | 27% | 14% | 6% | 7% |
| in 2020 | 9% | 11% | 27% | 34% | 34% | 39% | 40% | 43% | 27% | 16% | 14% | 10% |
| in 2021 | 10% | 9% | 13% | 17% | 30% | 30% | 37% | 32% | 41% | 22% | 14% | 7% |
| in 2022 | 9% | 11% | 10% | 15% | 19% | 25% | 39% | 37% | 34% | 23% | 10% | 9% |
| in 2023 | 8% | 10% | 18% | 21% | 26% | 27% | 37% | 23% | 31% | 15% | 11% | 10% |

- Instances of PM₁₀ as conditional pollutant doubled since 2019 – inception of the National Clean Air Programme (NCAP) which designated PM₁₀ as the target pollutant.
- There is no significant change in the total occurrence of the gases (SO₂, NO₂, CO, and ozone) as conditional pollutants.

% days across India reporting AQI bins

| | Good | Satisfactory | Moderate | Poor | Very Poor | Severe |
|------|------|--------------|----------|------|-----------|--------|
| 2015 | 8% | 33% | 31% | 13% | 11% | 3.0% |
| 2016 | 11% | 27% | 35% | 14% | 9.3% | 3.9% |
| 2017 | 8% | 33% | 34% | 14% | 8.7% | 2.4% |
| 2018 | 8% | 31% | 38% | 14% | 7.3% | 1.5% |
| 2019 | 11% | 33% | 36% | 13% | 5.3% | 1.2% |
| 2020 | 20% | 38% | 29% | 10% | 3.6% | 0.7% |
| 2021 | 19% | 35% | 29% | 12% | 4.8% | 0.6% |
| 2022 | 17% | 34% | 31% | 12% | 4.1% | 0.5% |
| 2023 | 17% | 37% | 32% | 10% | 3.2% | 0.3% |

Average AQI over all stations and all days in a month

| Avg. AQI | J | F | Μ | A | Μ | J | J | A | S | 0 | N | D |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| in 2015 | | | | | 147 | 114 | 87 | 85 | 110 | 178 | 239 | 242 |
| in 2016 | 252 | 190 | 149 | 162 | 139 | 118 | 84 | 72 | 82 | 152 | 225 | 234 |
| in 2017 | 208 | 188 | 148 | 147 | 144 | 111 | 85 | 82 | 99 | 164 | 215 | 204 |
| in 2018 | 209 | 177 | 159 | 141 | 143 | 126 | 77 | 79 | 87 | 157 | 202 | 211 |
| in 2019 | 203 | 155 | 134 | 143 | 149 | 122 | 86 | 68 | 70 | 139 | 186 | 183 |
| in 2020 | 157 | 145 | 98 | 85 | 93 | 77 | 61 | 53 | 78 | 147 | 179 | 180 |
| in 2021 | 173 | 157 | 142 | 126 | 91 | 86 | 69 | 67 | 57 | 109 | 185 | 179 |
| in 2022 | 155 | 138 | 144 | 141 | 122 | 106 | 61 | 65 | 70 | 111 | 164 | 174 |
| in 2023 | 172 | 145 | 115 | 114 | 103 | 88 | 65 | 77 | 71 | 114 | 167 | 153 |



| | А | OI Re | port | ed in | СРСВ | 's Da | ily Bu | ulleti | ns for | Hvd | eraba | ad | | Γ | A | Q |
|------|-----|-------|------|-------|------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-----|-------|-----|---|-------|----------|---|
| 2015 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mon Tue Wed Thu Pri Sat | 2015 | | |
| | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Sun | | Jan | F |
| 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mon Tue Wed Thu Pri Sat | 2016 | | |
| | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Sun | J | an | |
| 2017 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mon Tue Wed Thu Fri Sat | 2017 | | |
| l | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | Мау | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Sun | , | an | |
| 2018 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mon Tue Wed Thu Fri Sat Sun | 2018 | Jan | |
| | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | Мау | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | | | | |
| 2019 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mon Tue Wed Thu Fri Sat | 2019 | | |
| | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | Мау | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Sun | | Jan | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mon Tue Wed Thu | 2020 | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Sat | | lan | |

| | Α | QI R | eport | ted in | CPC | B's Da | aily B | Bullet | ins fo | or Vai | ranas | i | |
|------|-----|------|-------|--------|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-----|---|
| 2015 | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Mon Tue Wed Thu Pri Sat Sun |
| 2016 | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Νον | Dec | Mon Tue Wed Thu Rri Sat |
| 2017 | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Νον | Dec | Mon Tue Wed Thu Pri Sat Sun |
| 2018 | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Mon Tue Wed Thu Rri Sat Sun |
| 2019 | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Νον | Dec | Mon Tue Wed Thu Pri Sat Sun |
| 2020 | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Νον | Dec | Mon Tue Wed Thu Fri Sat Sun |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mon Tue |

Overall drop in AQI from 2015 to 2023, while some of it is due to reductions in emission intensities in the big cities, a portion of it is also due to an increase in the representativeness of the network by expanding outside the metropolitan and Tier-1 cities. The sharp 30-40% drop in the overall AQI in 2020 from March to June is due to the COVID19 lockdown restrictions.





One or two stations is not a good sample size to represent a city's air quality

Even if the assumption of "randomness" in the placement of the monitors is considered, there is an issue of wide confidence intervals. CI of the mean air quality built using the student's t-distribution function will be wide for small sample sizes. For instance, if a city only has 2 monitors, the margin of error would be 12.7 times the standard error of the mean (SEM for a 95% CI) and for 37 it is 2.0.







Recommendations

- Increase in regulatory stations
- Promotion of hybrid networks with low-cost sensors
- Integration with bottom-up emissions and pollution modeling for more spatial and temporal representation.

As an experiment, as we randomly choose different sample sizes (2, 5, and 30), we get different means and variances, and as the sample size increases, they close the gap to the mean and variance of the population (Delhi, total number of samples = 37). This example demonstrates the need for representation from residential, transport, industrial, commercial and background locations and the likely variation in the interpretations when data from some of these stations is not available.



lean vs Standard Deviation of Subsets for K = 30

Population

All the data resources utilized for this analysis are documented for open-access @ https://www.urbanemissions.info, along with city air pollution analysis reports under the Air pollution knowledge Assessments (APnA) city program for 131 non-attainment cities of India's National Clean Air Programme (NCAP)

